

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wespto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/521,958	01/21/2005	Hiroyuki Shirai	TOYA129.008APC	2101	
7590 07/1/0/2008 KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP 2040 MAIN STREET			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			JEAN-LOUIS, SAMIRA JM		
FOURTEENTH FLOOR IRVINE, CA 92614		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
,		1617			
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/09/2008	ELECTRONIC	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

jcartee@kmob.com eOAPilot@kmob.com

## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/521.958 SHIRALET AL. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit SAMIRA IFANLI OLUS 1617 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) SAMIRA JEAN-LOUIS. (3)Sreeni Padmanabhan. (2) Che S. Chereskin. (4)\_\_\_\_. Date of Interview: 30 June 2008. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) □ applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e)□ No. If Yes, brief description: Applicant's representative provided unopened samples of applicant's invention as well as samples of the prior art references. Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 3. Identification of prior art discussed: Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative discussed the rejections of record. Examiner discussed how the prior art references met the limitation of applicant's claims. Applicant's representative will respond to the rejections of record as discussed in the Interview... (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE. OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Attachment to a signed Office action.

8. Patent and Trademark Office

/Sreeni Padmanabhan/, SPE AU 1617

Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an